Journal of Research High School

Vol. 2026 (11) 847 – 854
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

Refusing to Stay Silent: Chicano Youth and the Making of Educational Justice

Sailee Charlu[1] *

[1]Orange County School of the Arts, Santa Ana, CA, USA
*Corresponding Author: saileecu@gmail.com

Advisor: Kimberly Lyons, kim.lyons@ocsarts.net

Received February 3, 2026; Revised August 24, 2026; Accepted September 8, 2026

Abstract

The 1968 East LA Walkouts in which as many as 22,000 Chicano students left seven Los Angeles high schools to protest decades of educational neglect, raise a central question about youth-led protest: how did the threat of punitive consequences shape Chicano students' decisions to participate, and how did moral courage shape the strategies they used once they acted? Using a qualitative historical case study approach, this study draws on peer-reviewed historical scholarship, oral testimony, and interdisciplinary research on protest psychology, government surveillance, and nonviolent resistance to analyze the Walkouts thematically, organizing the evidence around punitive school discipline, federal surveillance, media and cultural pressure, and nonviolent collective identity. The analysis argues that punitive school discipline, federal surveillance, and hostile media coverage constrained but did not extinguish student mobilization, and that a disciplined nonviolent strategy combined with a consciously reconstructed collective identity allowed students to convert individual fear into durable collective moral authority. The study concludes that this dynamic between suppression and courage produced measurable policy outcomes, including the 1968 Bilingual Education Act and California's 2021 ethnic studies graduation requirement, offering a historical model for evaluating how youth activism can achieve lasting reform under conditions of institutional repression, while noting the limits of generalizing from a single case.

Keywords: Chicano movement, East LA walkouts, Bilingual education, Moral courage, Nonviolent resistance, Student activism

1. Introduction and Historical Context

In March 1968, as many as 22,000 Chicano students walked out of seven East Los Angeles high schools in a coordinated protest that historians recognize as the opening act of the Chicano Movement (Mejías-Rentas, 2022). The Walkouts, or *Blowouts*, responded to two decades of unresolved educational inequality: the dropout rate at Garfield High School, one of the schools involved, reached 57.5 percent, and districtwide, roughly 60 percent of Chicano students left high school before graduating, with average class sizes of 40 students and a student-to-counselor ratio of 4,000 to one (United Way of Greater Los Angeles, 2018). Students were disproportionately steered toward vocational and domestic-training courses rather than college-preparatory classes, amidst overcrowded classrooms and underfunded facilities, and they and their families experienced this curriculum as actively hostile to their identity rather than merely inadequate (Mejías-Rentas, 2022).

Mendez v. Westminster (1947) had already established that California school districts could not segregate Mexican American children into separate "Mexican schools," but the ruling addressed formal, de jure segregation without eliminating the informal tracking, counselor bias, and culturally unresponsive curricula that continued to push Chicano students toward vocational programs two decades later (Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, 2024). This gap between legal victory and lived experience is an important context for the Walkouts: by 1968, Chicano communities

Vol. 2026 (11) 847 – 854
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

had already seen that court rulings alone did not translate automatically into equitable classrooms, even as a broader movement for Mexican American self-determination gained momentum across the Southwest (Mejías-Rentas, 2022).

Student organizers drew explicitly on the nonviolent traditions modeled by Martin Luther King Jr. and by the farmworker movement led by Cesar Chavez, who taught that nonviolent discipline "takes more guts…than violence" and required sustained organization rather than passivity (United Farm Workers, n.d.). Yet the decision to walk out of class carried genuine institutional risk. Students faced suspension and expulsion, and teachers who supported them, including Lincoln High School's Sal Castro, faced professional retaliation, losing his teaching position before being reinstated after sustained community pressure, for encouraging students to organize (Mejías-Rentas, 2022). This tension between institutional risk and moral conviction is the organizing problem of this study: how did the threat of punitive consequences shape Chicano students' willingness to protest, and how did moral courage shape the strategies they ultimately adopted?

The organizing capacity that made the Walkouts possible did not emerge overnight. Many of the eventual student leaders first developed their political vocabulary at the county-sponsored Mexican-American Youth Leadership Conference at Camp Hess Kramer, and the youth group that grew out of those conferences, the Young Citizens for Community Action, compiled a survey of student grievances and campaigned for the district's first Chicano school board member before any student walked out of a classroom (Delgado Bernal, 1998). When the Walkouts began in March 1968, organizers and community members translated this groundwork into a formal list of thirty-six demands presented to the Los Angeles Board of Education, covering bilingual education, smaller class sizes, and community control of curriculum (Delgado Bernal, 1998). This history matters for the research question at hand: the Walkouts were not a spontaneous eruption of frustration but the visible culmination of years of lower-risk organizing, which suggests that the moral courage on display in March 1968 had already been rehearsed, in smaller and less public forms, well before students carried it into the street.

2. Methodology and Analytical Framework

This study uses a qualitative historical case study approach to examine the East LA Walkouts as a bounded event within the broader Chicano Movement, rather than attempting a comprehensive account of the movement as a whole. Sources were drawn from three categories: (a) peer-reviewed historical scholarship on the Chicano student activism and educational history, including Delgado Bernal's (1998) oral-history study of the Walkouts and Rodriguez's (2013) doctoral study of press coverage of the Chicano Movement; (b) primary and oral-historical accounts of the Walkouts, including interviews archived by the UCLA Library Center for Oral History Research's "*La Batalla Está Aquí* series and the Library of Congress Civil Rights History Project; and (c) interdisciplinary social science research on protest psychology, government surveillance, and nonviolent resistance, including Omodan's (2023) study of student unrest in South African universities and Dahlum's (2019) cross-national research on student participation and protest outcomes.

The analysis proceeds thematically rather than chronologically. Drawing on Thomas and Chaleff's (2017) distinction between moral courage, the willingness to act on one's convictions despite personal risk, and intelligent disobedience, the strategic calibration of resistance to institutional constraint, this study organizes the evidence around the suppressive effect of punitive school discipline, the compounding effect of government surveillance, the role of media narratives and cultural pressure in discouraging participation, and the countervailing force of nonviolent strategy and collective identity in sustaining the movement despite these pressures. This framework treats fear and courage as interacting forces rather than opposites: intelligent disobedience describes the calculation Chicano students made when they organized scheduled, legally defensible walkouts rather than confrontational action, while moral courage describes the underlying willingness to accept risk that made that calculation meaningful. This study also draws on Delgado Bernal's (1998) cooperative leadership paradigm, which treats organizing, consciousness-raising, and networking as forms of leadership equal in importance to public office-holding and spokesmanship, so that forms of moral courage exercised outside the public eye are not undercounted relative to the more visible tactics that dominate traditional historical accounts.

This approach carries clear limitations. A single, geographically concentrated case study cannot establish that the

Vol. 2026 (11) 847 – 854
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

same dynamic between suppression and moral courage would generalize to other student movements, and this study does not attempt such a claim. Where a single individual's testimony, such as Gloria Arellanes's account of coming of age amid organized violence against Mexican families, illustrates a broader claim, it is treated as evidence of one documented experience rather than as representative of all participants, whose responses to fear and risk varied by gender, family circumstance, and school context.

3. Analysis

3.1 Punitive Discipline and the Suppression of Student Protest

The first and most immediate barrier to student mobilization was the threat of academic punishment. This dynamic was compounded at East Los Angeles high schools by financial precarity: students whose families depended on their eventual employment had strong incentives to avoid the suspension or expulsion that could foreclose their prospects entirely, and counselors who had already tracked Chicano students toward vocational rather than college preparatory coursework had correspondingly little incentive to treat those students' academic futures as worth protecting (United Way of Greater Los Angeles, 2018). Research on student activism elsewhere suggests that this fear did not disappear as students chose to act. Omodan (2023), studying student leaders at South African universities who had already taken part in unrest, found that participation itself carries psychological costs, anxiety, and social conflict, alongside benefits such as solidarity and empowerment, indicating that even organizers who overcame their initial fear continued to bear its psychological weight.

The lived stakes of this calculation are illustrated by Gloria Arellanes, minister of finance and correspondence for the Brown Berets' founding chapter, who described in oral-history interviews growing up amid housing discrimination and pervasive racism directed at Mexican families in El Monte and later navigating racial confrontations at her own high school, an environment in which danger was already a routine feature of daily life rather than an abstraction (U.S. Library of Congress, Civil Rights History Project, 2016; UCLA Library Center for Oral History Research, 2011). That Arellanes moved toward organizing rather than away from it suggests that for some students, the calculation Omodan (2023) describes tilted toward action precisely because the risk of staying silent felt no smaller than the risk of speaking out.

This pattern clarifies why participation in the Walkouts could not be taken for granted. Students who chose to walk out were weighing a plausible threat of expulsion, legal consequences, and physical harm against the uncertain prospect of educational reform, and that calculation was not the same for every student. That thousands made this calculation and walked out regardless indicates that punitive suppression, while real and effective at discouraging many students, did not operate uniformly across the student population, and that its deterrent effect was strongest where it combined with the fewest institutional resources to absorb its consequences.

The immediacy of this risk is illustrated by Vickie Castro, a college student who returned to her former high school to help organize the walkout and was recognized and escorted off campus by a teacher who warned her that if he saw her on campus again he would have her arrested (Delgado Bernal, 1998). That a former student, no longer even subject to the school's disciplinary authority, could still be threatened with arrest for organizing illustrates how far punitive control extended beyond the formal boundaries of enrollment, reaching anyone associated with the movement regardless of their own standing.

3.2 Government Surveillance and the Criminalization of Chicano Activism

Beyond school-level discipline, Chicano student activism unfolded under active federal surveillance, which added a second and more diffuse layer of fear, less visible to students than a principal's disciplinary authority but no less consequential. The FBI's Counterintelligence Program treated organizations sympathetic to the antiwar and Chicano Movement, including groups such as Students for a Democratic Society, as national security threats and worked to discredit them through infiltration and public discrediting campaigns (Wolf, 2001), and the Brown Berets specifically drew comparable scrutiny from the FBI and local police as their public profile grew over the course of the late 1960s (Flores, 2012). This recast peaceful student organizing as a public danger, which justified an increased police presence

Vol. 2026 (11) 847 – 854
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

and made it easier for administrators to treat participation in the Walkouts as a disciplinary or criminal matter rather than a legitimate exercise of student voice.

Surveillance shaped protest strategy as much as it shaped fear. Because organizers understood that visible militancy invited both police intervention and reputational damage, Chicano student leaders and adult allies such as the Brown Berets deliberately emphasized organized, nonviolent tactics, including scheduled walkouts and formal negotiation through the Educational Issues Coordinating Committee, rather than confrontational action (Mejías-Rentas, 2022). This restraint did not eliminate the danger: thirteen organizers, later known as the " East LA, 13," were arrested and charged with conspiracy to disturb the peace, a felony carrying the possibility of significant prison time, though all charges were dropped by 1970 (Mejías-Rentas, 2022). That organizing persisted under these conditions suggests that surveillance narrowed the movement's tactical range without deterring collective action altogether.

This surveillance extended into the public meetings organizers used to press their demands. Rosalinda Mendez González, a student who later testified before the Los Angeles Board of Education, recalled police officers moving through a crowded board meeting row by row, photographing every person present, a tactic participants understood as a warning that anyone documented as attending could later be identified and targeted (Delgado Bernal, 1998). The Educational Issues Coordinating Committee nonetheless pressed for two special board meetings despite this surveillance, drawing an estimated twelve hundred people to a single four-hour session at Lincoln High School (Delgado Bernal, 1998). That the committee could sustain this scale of public participation under direct police documentation suggests that organized, institutionally legible tactics, addressed to the school board rather than staged in the street, offered participants a measure of protection that unstructured protest did not.

School discipline and federal surveillance reinforced one another rather than operating separately. A student labeled "militant" by association with a surveilled organization became, to an administrator already inclined toward punitive discipline, a more plausible candidate for expulsion, meaning the two forms of suppression compounded rather than simply added together. This compounding effect helps explain why the students who continued organizing relied so heavily on the disciplined, coalition-based strategy discussed below, since only a highly organized and legally cautious movement could survive the combined weight of institutional discipline and federal scrutiny.

3.3 Media Narratives, Cultural Pressure, and the Push Toward Silence

Fear generated by discipline and surveillance was reinforced by a media environment that often failed to represent Chicano activism on its own terms. Rodriguez's (2013) dissertation on press coverage of the Chicano movement found that Chicano organizers frequently regarded the mainstream English- and Spanish-language press, including outlets such as La Opinión, as insufficiently attentive to the substance of their grievances, which was part of why activists built their own independent press to represent the movement's concerns directly. Coverage that minimized or distorted student grievances increased public suspicion of organizers and gave hostile administrators cover to respond punitively, reinforcing the disciplinary and surveillance-based pressures already discussed.

This pattern was not uniform across the local press. Rodriguez (2013) finds that the Los Angeles Times, particularly through the reporting of Ruben Salazar, covered the Movement with more consistent objectivity than La Opinión did, which suggests that organizers' frustration was directed less at the mainstream press as a monolith than at outlets, including a Spanish-language paper aimed at an older, more assimilationist readership, whose editorial standpoint already sat closer to the school administrators the Walkouts were confronting. This distinction matters for the argument here: hostile coverage functioned less as a uniform wall of opposition than as a variable pressure that organizers had to read outlet by outlet, weighing which reporters and publications they could engage as potential allies and which they could not.

This external pressure interacted with an internal cultural dynamic that further discouraged open dissent. Delgado Bernal's (1998) oral-history study of eight Chicana participants in the Walkouts found that many of the women interviewed described navigating pressure both to conform to an existing image of "proper" feminine behavior within their families and to defer to male leadership within the movement itself, a double bind that made public, visible dissent a more fraught choice for young women than the standard historical narrative of the Walkouts, focused on male leaders, typically acknowledges. Where students overcame this expectation, they did so by reframing collective

Vol. 2026 (11) 847 – 854
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

protest as an assertion of cultural pride and, for the women Delgado Bernal interviewed, as a claim to a form of leadership the movement's own internal narrative had not always made visible, a reframing that becomes central to the strategy discussed below.

3.4 Moral Courage, Nonviolent Strategy, and Collective Identity

Despite the compounding pressures documented above, Chicano students sustained a movement built on disciplined nonviolent resistance and a consciously constructed collective identity. Dahlum's (2019) cross-national analysis of protest campaigns seeking regime change between 1900 and 2006 finds that movements with a larger share of student and educated participants are both more likely to adopt nonviolent tactics and more likely to achieve their stated goals. Although the Walkouts sought educational rather than regime change, this finding is directly relevant to a movement composed almost entirely of students. The Walkouts followed this pattern with unusual discipline: organizers coordinated scheduled, orderly departures from class, and adult allies such as the Brown Berets, then still primarily a student-founded group focused on school conditions, provided security and logistical support (UCLA Library Center for Oral History Research, 2011; Flores, 2012), a role that shifted as the organization grew more confrontational in later years. This choice directly answers the surveillance pressure described earlier, which pushed the movement toward legally defensible tactics: nonviolence was a calculated response to a hostile institutional environment, not only a moral preference.

The disciplined nonviolence documented above was not gender-neutral in its execution. Delgado Bernal's (1998) interviews reveal that organizers assigned roles based on how differently gendered presentations were read by school authorities: Vickie Castro described deliberately using her comparatively light complexion and conventionally respectable appearance to gain access to administrators' offices, work she contrasted with the more confrontational, publicly visible role played by Brown Beret leader David Sanchez, explaining that she never looked the militant type and used that perception to her advantage. This division of labor helps explain why the thirteen organizers formally arrested and charged after the Walkouts were entirely male: female organizers who filled organizing, networking, and consciousness-raising roles were, in Delgado Bernal's (1998) account, systematically overlooked by an enforcement apparatus focused on identifying visible, public leadership. Moral courage in the Walkouts therefore operated through at least two distinct registers, an outwardly confrontational one that bore the brunt of formal legal risk and a less visible one that carried comparatively little formal risk but was, by the movement's own later reckoning, indispensable to sustaining it; treating only the first register as evidence of courage understates both the risk profile and the strategic sophistication of the movement as a whole.

The labor movement led by Cesar Chavez provided an immediate model for this strategy. In his own words, Chavez argued that critics who saw nonviolence as "weak and non-militant" misunderstood it, since nonviolence "takes more guts…than violence" and depends on sustained organization rather than passive endurance (United Farm Workers, n.d.). This offered students language for understanding their own restraint as strength rather than weakness. This study treats Chavez's relevance narrowly, as a contemporaneous model of nonviolent labor organizing, rather than as a comprehensive biographical figure. Serious allegations of sexual abuse against Chavez, raised publicly in March 2026 by several women, including Dolores Huerta, complicate any treatment of Chavez as an uncomplicated moral exemplar (Florido, 2026). Evaluating those allegations falls outside the scope of this study, but Chavez should be understood as a complex individual whose documented influence on a specific set of tactics in 1968 can be assessed separately from a full moral accounting of his life; his inclusion here is not an endorsement of his as a moral authority

Alongside this strategic model, Chicano students constructed a collective identity that reframed cultural pride as a basis for coordinated action, directly answering the cultural pressure documented above. Delgado Bernal's (1998) framework describes this identity-building as a form of leadership distinct from formal office-holding: organizing, consciousness-raising, and networking that often went unrecorded in conventional accounts of movement but that was, in her interviewees' own telling, indispensable to sustaining it. Franklin D. Roosevelt's (1933) observation that effective leadership depends on "the understanding and support of the people themselves" describes a similar dynamic: Chicano student leaders sustained the Walkouts because they could draw on a community that recognized itself in their demands, a recognition that had to be built and organized rather than assumed.

Vol. 2026 (11) 847 – 854
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

That building process depended on organizational infrastructure as much as rhetoric. Carlos Montes, a founding member of the Brown Berets and a key organizer of the Walkouts, described in oral-history interviews how the organization grew directly out of student dissatisfaction with school conditions before expanding into broader community defense work, buffering students, organizing logistics, and mentoring younger participants (UCLA Library Center for Oral History Research, 2011; Delgado Bernal, 1998). The Educational Issues Coordinating Committee performed a parallel function among parents, formalizing student demands into a structure Los Angeles school officials could not simply dismiss as disorderly (Mejías-Rentas, 2022). Taken together, the evidence presented above describes a single, coherent dynamic: punitive discipline, surveillance, and cultural and media pressure created a genuinely dangerous environment, and it was the combination of nonviolent strategic discipline, reconstructed collective identity, and durable organizational infrastructure that allowed thousands of students to act despite that danger.

3.5 Legacy and Policy Implications

The Walkouts' long-term significance lies in the concrete policy changes they helped set in motion. The 1968 Bilingual Education Act extended federal support for bilingual instruction for the first time, though later scholarship has questioned how fully it delivered on the movement's original demands for language rights rather than a narrower transitional model (García and Sung, 2018), and California passed a 2021 law requiring public high schools to eventually offer and require ethnic studies coursework; by the early 2020s, roughly half of the state's public high schools already offered such courses (Penner and Ma, 2023). These outcomes support Dahlum's (2019) finding that movements with substantial student participation are more likely to produce durable reform: the Walkouts' organizers built alliances, most notably through the Educational Issues Coordinating Committee, a parent-led body that formalized student demands and negotiated directly with the Los Angeles Board of Education (Mejías-Rentas, 2022). The persistence of this legacy across more than five decades suggests that the Walkouts' organizational model, rather than any single policy demand, is what proved durable.

The relationship between the students' demands and subsequent policy varied in its chronology: their call for bilingual instruction came about two months after Congress had already signed the Bilingual Education Act into law in January 1968, and their demand reflected local frustration that this new federal law had barely reached East Los Angeles classrooms, while their demand for curriculum reflecting Mexican and Mexican American history anticipated by more than five decades the ethnic studies requirement California adopted in 2021 (Delgado Bernal, 1998; García and Sung, 2018; Penner and Ma, 2023). That the specific language of student demands can be traced this directly into both a law already on the books and one enacted decades later is itself evidence that the Walkouts' organizational model, and not merely the general climate of 1968 activism, shaped the reforms that followed.

This history suggests strategies for contemporary student activism operating under similarly punitive conditions, though each carries real limitations. Standing advocacy coalitions modeled on the Educational Issues Coordinating Committee can reduce the likelihood that individual students bear the full disciplinary risk of organizing alone, though such coalitions require sustained coordination and can be slow to form in moments of acute grievance. Mentorship structures modeled on the Brown Berets can reduce the informational and legal vulnerability that makes punitive consequences effective as a deterrent, though this depends on adult volunteers willing to accept some of the same institutional risk described earlier. School districts can also adopt explicit policies distinguishing peaceful protest from disciplinary infractions, though such policies remain contested and unevenly enforced even where they exist. These constraints do not diminish the underlying lesson: structured, nonviolent, coalition-based organizing can absorb and outlast the punitive pressure it inevitably provokes, even if it cannot eliminate that pressure entirely.

4. Conclusion

This study examined how the threat of punitive consequences shaped Chicano students' willingness to participate in the 1968 East LA Walkouts, and how moral courage shaped the strategies they used once they acted. The evidence indicates that punitive school discipline, federal surveillance, and hostile media coverage operated together as a mutually reinforcing system of suppression, one that succeeded in deterring some students, as Gloria Arellanes's

Vol. 2026 (11) 847 – 854
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

testimony illustrates, and in narrowing the tactical options available to those who continued organizing. At the same time, this system did not prevent thousands of students from walking out, because Chicano student leaders converted individual fear into collective moral authority through disciplined nonviolent strategy and a consciously reconstructed cultural identity, sustained through durable organizational infrastructure rather than rhetoric alone.

This study's attention to the gendered division of visible and invisible leadership also contributes to a broader historiographical correction: accounts of the Walkouts organized primarily around the young men who were arrested, tried, and publicly celebrated risk reproducing the same undercounting of women's leadership that the movement's own enforcement apparatus practiced in 1968, and a fuller account of moral courage in the Walkouts must include the organizers whose contributions were, by design, harder to see.

This dynamic helps explain why the Walkouts produced durable policy outcomes, from the Bilingual Education Act to California's ethnic studies requirement, where more sporadic resistance might not have. The study's central contribution is to show that discipline, surveillance, media and cultural framing, and organized nonviolence should be understood as interacting components of a single system rather than separate historical footnotes. This contribution is bounded by the limitations discussed above: as a single case study relying on secondary testimony, this analysis cannot establish how far its findings generalize, and future research drawing on original oral history interviews or comparative analysis against other nonviolent student movements would be well positioned to test whether the same dynamic holds beyond this case.

For contemporary students and educators confronting new forms of punitive discipline and surveillance, this history offers not a guarantee but a demonstrated pathway by which collective courage under institutional threat can translate into structural change, sustained by the kind of durable coalitions and mentorship structures that outlast any single protest.

References

Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts. (2024, September 26). Mendez v. Westminster: How a young girl's courage transformed schools. https://www.uscourts.gov/data-news/judiciary-news/2024/09/26/mendez-v-westminster-how-a-young-girls-courage-transformed-schools

Dahlum, S. (2019). Students in the streets: Education and nonviolence protest. Comparative Political Studies, 52(2), 277-309. https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414018758761

Delgado Bernal, D. (1998). Grassroots leadership reconceptualized: Chicana oral histories and the 1968 East Los Angeles school blowouts. Frontiers: A Journal of Women Studies, 19(2), 113-142. https://doi.org/10.2307/3347162

Florido, A. (2026, March 18). Cesar Chavez abused and raped women and girls, NYT investigation says. NPR. https://www.npr.org/2026/03/18/nx-s1-5752253/cesar-chavez-sexual-assault-dolores-huerta-united-farm-workers

Flores, P. (2012). To protect and to serve: Effects of the relationship between the Brown Berets and law enforcement. History in the Making, 5, Article 6. https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/history-in-the-making/vol5/iss1/6

García, 0., & Sung, K. K. (2018). Critically assessing the 1968 Bilingual Education Act at 50 years: Taming tongues and Latinx communities. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 41(4), 318-333. https://doi.org/10.1080/15235882.2018.1529642

Mejías-Rentas, A. (2022, September 14). How 1968 East L.A. student walkouts ignited the Chicano Movement. History. https://www.history.com/articles/east-los-angeles-chicano-student-walkouts-1968

Omodan, B. I. (2023). Psychological implication of student unrest on student leaders: A social support perspective. *Heliyon*, 9(11), Article e22334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e22334

Penner, E. K., & Ma, D. (2023). *Access to ethnic studies in California public schools* (EdWorkingPaper No. 23-886). Brown University. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED638881

Roosevelt, F. D. (1933, March 4). *First inaugural address*. https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/froos1.asp

Vol. 2026 (11) 847 – 854
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

Rodriguez, E. (2013). Covering the Chicano Movement: Examining Chicano activism through Chicano, American, African American and Spanish-language periodicals, 1965-1973 [Doctoral dissertation, University of Californian Riverside]. eScholarship. https://eschola rship.org/uc/item/3gm7d1cx

Thomas, T., & Chaleff, I. (2017). Moral courage and intelligent disobedience. *Interagency Journal, 8*(1), 58–66. https://thesimonscenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/IAJ-8-1-Winter2017-pg58-66.pdf

UCLA Library Center for Oral History Research. (2011). Interview of Gloria Arellanes [Interview by V. Espino]. "La Batalla Está Aquí": The Chicana/o Movement in Los Angeles. https://oralhistory.library.ucla.edu/catalog/21198-zz002cftg9

UCLA Library Center for Oral History Research. (2011). Interview of Carlos Montes [Interview by V. Espino]. "La Batalla Está Aquí": The Chicana/o Movement in Los Angeles. https://oralhistory.library.ucla.edu/catalog/21198-zz002cftb7

United Farm Workers. (n.d.). Education of the heart: Cesar Chavez in his own words. https://ufw.org/research/history/education-heart-cesar-chavez-words/

United Way of Greater Los Angeles. (2018, February 26). The walkout: How a student movement in 1968 changed schools forever (Part 1 of 3). https://unitedwayla.org/news/1968-walkout-demands/

U.S. Library of Congress, Civil Rights History Project. (2016, June 26). Gloria Arellanes oral history interview conducted by David P. Cline [Video recording]. https://www.loc.gov/item/2016655427/

Wolf, P. (2001). *COINTELPRO: The untold American story*. Report presented to the U.N. World Conference Against Racism, Durban, South Africa. https://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/FBI/COINTELPRO_Untold_Story.html