Journal of Research High School

Vol. 2026 (11) 917 – 923
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

The 1997 Asian Financial Crisis: Japan, Malaysia, and the Impact of Speculative Forces

Shicheng Qiu[1] *

[1]Western Reserve Academy, Hudson, OH, USA
*Corresponding Author: dorjieq@gmail.com

Advisor: Russell Morrison, morrisonr@wra.net

Received June 24, 2025; Revised April 27, 2026; Accepted June 21, 2026

Abstract

This research examined the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis through a comparative analysis of Japan and Malaysia, focusing on the causal intersection between domestic institutional weaknesses and external speculative pressures. The study addressed a primary research question: to what extent did outdated regulatory frameworks and politically influenced banking systems facilitate the catastrophic outcomes triggered by global financial speculators? Using a comparative case study methodology involving secondary economic literature and original interviews with key business leaders, the research demonstrated that while Japan suffered from a slow-burning stagnation rooted in asset price deflation and delayed recapitalization (Sing, 2001), Malaysia experienced a rapid systemic collapse driven by currency pegs and volatile portfolio capital (Ariff and Yap, 2001). The findings indicated that speculative forces did not create these vulnerabilities but rather acted as an accelerant, transforming localized policy failures into a region-wide financial meltdown.

Keywords: Asian Financial Crisis, Economics, 1997, Finance Industry, Lost Decade, Pegged Exchange Rates

1. Introduction

The 1997 Asian Financial Crisis marks a pivotal event in global economic history, challenging the consensus on rapid, unregulated financial liberalization. This paper presents a comparative case study of Japan and Malaysia to examine the crisis's divergent manifestations and structural origins in two distinct economic models. Our central objective is to analyze the causal link between pre-existing domestic institutional vulnerabilities and their external amplification by global financial speculators. Specifically, we address how outdated regulatory frameworks and politically influenced banking systems facilitated catastrophic outcomes under speculative pressure. The subsequent analysis is structured using Financial Liberalization Theory and the Mundell-Fleming "Impossible Trinity" to frame the systemic vulnerabilities. By contrasting Japan's slow-burning stagnation, rooted in delayed bank recapitalization, with Malaysia's rapid systemic collapse from volatile capital and currency pegs, this study argues that speculative forces acted as an accelerant, swiftly transforming localized policy failures into a profound, region-wide financial meltdown. The research integrates an extensive review of secondary economic literature with original interviews from key business leaders.

2. Conceptual and Theoretical Frameworks

The analysis of the 1997 crisis required the application of two primary theoretical frameworks: Financial Liberalization Theory and the Mundell-Fleming "Impossible Trinity" (the Monetary Trilemma). Financial Liberalization Theory posits that while the removal of government intervention in financial markets is intended to promote efficiency, its implementation in the absence of a robust regulatory infrastructure often results in asset price

Vol. 2026 (11) 917 – 923
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

bubbles and unsustainable capital flows. In many Asian economies, liberalization was undertaken in a haphazard or piecemeal fashion, often due to external pressure rather than a coherent internal strategy.This created an environment where firms engaged in over-expansion and unwarranted diversification, supported by a banking sector that lacked effective prudential supervision.

Complementing this is the Monetary Trilemma, which states that a country cannot simultaneously maintain a fixed exchange rate, free capital mobility, and an independent monetary policy. As demonstrated in the following analysis, Malaysia's attempt to maintain a quasi-peg to the U.S. dollar while encouraging international portfolio investment ultimately necessitated the sacrifice of monetary autonomy. When external shocks occurred, the inability to adjust the exchange rate without exhausting reserves made the system highly vulnerable to speculative attacks

3. Research Methodology

This study employed a comparative case study design to investigate the divergent manifestations of the 1997 crisis in Japan and Malaysia. The selection of these two nations was strategic: Japan represented a mature, industrialized lender whose banking system was entangled in a long-term domestic asset bubble, while Malaysia represented an emerging "miracle" economy characterized by high growth and a heavy reliance on foreign capital inflows. This contrast allowed for an examination of how contagion moves between center and periphery economies.

Primary data was obtained through original interviews conducted by the author with two prominent business figures: Zhang Ming Zheng, the co-founder and former CEO of Trend Micro, and Datuk Lim Chi Hua, the founder of VXL Group. These interviews took place in March 2025 and provided qualitative evidence regarding corporate decision-making and the impact of government intervention during the crisis (M. Z. Zhang, personal communication, March 18, 2025; C. H. Lim, personal communication, March 8, 2025). Secondary research involved an extensive review of peer-reviewed economic literature, International Monetary Fund (IMF) working papers, and historical data from central banks.

4. Japan: The World's Slowest Crisis and the Genesis of Stagnation

Japan's financial crisis of the 1990s was fundamentally different from the rapid collapses seen elsewhere in Asia, earning it the moniker of "the world's slowest crisis" (King, 2001). The stagnation was rooted in a decades-long historical trajectory that began with the modernization efforts of the Meiji Restoration in 1868.

4.1 Historical Context: From Meiji to the Plaza Accord

The Japanese financial system was intentionally designed by the state during the Meiji period to foster industrialization and maintain national sovereignty. This government-led model resulted in a unique "main bank" system where long-term relationships between banks and borrowers were central to the economy. While this facilitated the post-WWII "miracle" growth, it also institutionalized a culture of direct credit and regulatory ideology where the state played a decisive role in capital allocation (Squires, 2022) .

The immediate precursor to the crisis was the Plaza Accord of September 1985 (Sing, 2001). Faced with global trade imbalances, major economies agreed to weaken the U.S. dollar, leading to a rapid and significant appreciation of the Yen against the dollar (Sing, 2001) . To prevent the stronger Yen from triggering an export-led recession, the Bank of Japan (BoJ) implemented an aggressive monetary easing policy, lowering its benchmark discount rate from 5% in early 1986 to 2.5% by February 1987.

4.2 The Anatomy of the Asset Bubble (1985-1990)

The surge in liquidity fueled a massive "asset bubble," where the prices of things such as stock and properties were pushed up far beyond their actual worth (Sing, 2001). Banks, losing their large corporate clients to international bond markets due to financial liberalization, shifted their lending toward riskier sectors like real estate. Lending was often based on the "land myth"—the belief that property values would never decrease.

Vol. 2026 (11) 917 – 923
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

The scale of this bubble was unprecedented. Between 1985 and 1991, housing prices in Japan tripled, and commercial property values in major cities rose by approximately 80%. By late 1989, the Japanese stock market was the largest in the world, representing 42% of global equity market capitalization.

Table 1: Japan's Asset Bubble and Monetary Policy (1986-1992)

Date	Nikkei 225 Level	TSE First Section Market Capitalization	Official Discount Rate
Jan 1986	~13,000	-	5.00%
Feb 1987	-	-	2.50%
Dec 1989 (Peak)	38,915.9	¥590 Trillion	4.25%
Aug 1990	~20,000		6.00%
Dec 1990	-	¥365 Trillion	6.00%
End of 1992	-	< ¥300 Trillion	3.75%

The figures in the table illustrate the magnitude of the wealth destruction. The total market capitalization of the Tokyo Stock Exchange (TSE) plummeted from ¥590 trillion at the end of 1989 to less than ¥300 trillion by 1992, a loss of nearly ¥300 trillion (corrected from earlier reports of 300 million). This massive evaporation of paper wealth crippled the balance sheets of financial institutions, directly triggering the systemic paralysis that defined the upcoming stagnation.

4.3 The Collapse and the “Lost Decade”

The bubble burst following a series of interest rate hikes by the BoJ starting in May 1989, intended to curb asset-price inflation. By August 1990, the discount rate had reached 6%. Equity prices crashed first, with the Nikkei losing 60% of its value from its 1989 peak. Land prices followed, crashing in 1991.

The resulting economic malaise became known as the "Lost Decade" (Halton, 2024). This period was characterized by stagnation and persistent deflation (Sing, 2001) . The core problem was a "balance sheet recession," where firms and households focused on paying down debt to repair their balance sheets rather than spending or investing, rendering even zero-interest-rate policies ineffective—a situation Paul Krugman described as a "liquidity trap".

The failure of Hokkaido Takushoku Bank and Yamaichi Securities in 1997 represented the ultimate collapse of the postwar financial regime (Cargill, 2002). The newspaper in November 1997 reported: "On Wednesday, Tokuyo City Bank... became the fourth Japanese financial institution to fail this month" (Strom, 1997).

4.4 Primary Research Insights: Japan

In his interview, Zhang Ming Zheng observed that the crash acted as a "reset button" for the Japanese economy (M. Z. Zhang, personal communication, March 18, 2025). While traditional sectors like banking were paralyzed, the crisis forced the government to relax rigid rules regarding foreign companies (M. Z. Zhang, personal communication, March 18, 2025). Zhang’s firm, Trend Micro, was able to go public in 1998 precisely because the old "Standard Operating Procedures" (SOP) were failing (M. Z. Zhang, personal communication, March 18, 2025). This insight supports the thesis that the crisis cleared the path for necessary technological innovation and institutional flexibility.

5. Malaysia: The Rapid Onset of Contagion and Systemic Collapse

In contrast to Japan’s slow decline, Malaysia’s experience in 1997 was a rapid systemic shock. Malaysia entered the crisis with seemingly strong fundamentals: high growth, low unemployment, and robust international reserves. However, its dependency on short-term foreign capital and its rigid exchange rate regime created hidden vulnerabilities (Lee, 2002).

5.1 The Role of the Quasi-Peg and the Trilemma

Throughout the early 1990s, the Malaysian Ringgit (MYR) was effectively pegged to the U.S. dollar (Chin and Jomo, 2002). This arrangement helped maintain price stability but encouraged a surge in short-term investments (Chin and Jomo, 2002). The "quasi-peg" became a liability when the U.S. dollar began to appreciate against the Yen after mid-1995, making the Ringgit an overvalued target for speculative attacks.

Vol. 2026 (11) 917 – 923
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

Following the collapse of the Thai Baht on July 2, 1997, contagion spread rapidly. Malaysia's monetary authorities initially attempted to defend the Ringgit, reportedly losing $1.5 billion (roughly RM9 billion) in a single week before being forced to float the currency on July 14, 1997.

5.2 Quantitative Impact on Markets and the Economy

The ensuing devaluation was severe. The Ringgit dropped from an average of 2.42 to the dollar in April 1997 to a low of 4.88 in January 1998. The stock market—the Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange (KLSE)—suffered an even more dramatic collapse, with the Composite Index (KLCI) plummeting from over 1,300 points to below 300 by August 1998.

Table 2. Quantitative Impact on Malaysian Economic Indicators (1997-1998).

Economic Indicator	Pre-Crisis (Early 1997)	Crisis Trough (1998)	% Change
Exchange Rate (RM/USD)	2.47	4.88	-49.4%
KLCI Composite Index	1271	262.7	-79.3%
Market Capitalization	RM 826 Billion	RM 200 Billion	-75.8%
GDP Growth Rate	+7.8% (1986~96 avg)	-7.4% (1998)	N/A
Non-Performing Loans	2.18% (June 1997)	11.45% (July 1998)	+425%

The high credit growth in early 1997, directed toward property and shares, left the banking system vulnerable to asset price deflation (Ariff and Yap, 2001) . As the Ringgit fell, the value of Malaysian assets used as bank collateral plummeted, leading to widespread financial distress (Ariff and Yap, 2001).

5.3 The Heterodox Response: Capital Controls vs. IMF Intervention

A central point of contention was Malaysia's rejection of the IMF "bailout" programs (Moss, 2022) accepted by its neighbors. IMF programs were accompanied by "conditionality"—a set of required policy reforms. These typically included:

- Quantitative Performance Criteria (QPC): Measurable targets for the budget deficit, international reserves, and monetary expansion.
- Structural Benchmarks: Legislative reforms, such as enacting new banking or bankruptcy laws.
- Fiscal Austerity: Cutting public spending and raising taxes to restore balance of payments.

Prime Minister Mahathir Mohammad argued that these pro-cyclical austerity measures would only worsen the recession by strangling domestic demand. On September 1, 1998, Malaysia implemented an "unorthodox" alternative: it fixed the exchange rate at RM3.80 per dollar and imposed capital controls to close the offshore Ringgit market and restrict the flight of short-term "hot money". Analysis suggests that these controls were effective in allowing Malaysia to lower interest rates and provide a fiscal stimulus to "reflate" the economy without triggering further currency depreciation.

5.4 Primary Research Insights: Malaysia:

In his interview, Datuk Lim Chi Hua compared his company VXL with the family conglomerate Genting, noting that Genting was constrained by shareholder oversight, while VXL had the "freedom and flexibility" to adapt (C. H. Lim, personal communication, March 8, 2025). Lim's insights clarified the mechanism of the collapse: it was a structural crisis accelerated by the withdrawal of "hot money" (C. H. Lim, personal communication, March 8, 2025). He further explained that the Malaysian government's strategy of purchasing non-performing loans using national agencies like Permodalan Nasional Berhad was a cautious way to inject liquidity and prevent total bankruptcy (C. H. Lim, personal communication, March 8, 2025).

6. Speculative Pressure: George Soros and Global Capital Forces

The 1997 crisis highlighted the power of international speculators to exploit systemic flaws (Hellmann, 2003). George Soros and his Quantum Fund became the primary symbols of these external pressures (Moss, 2022).

Vol. 2026 (11) 917 – 923
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

6.1 Mechanisms of Speculative Attacks

Speculators utilized leveraged strategies, primarily "short selling," to profit from overvalued currencies (Hayes, 2025; Beers, 2024). In a short sale, an investor borrows a currency and sells it at a high price, intending to buy it back at a lower price after it devalues (Beers, 2024) . To maximize these gains, they used "margin trading"—borrowing funds to amplify their purchasing power (Hayes, 2025) .

For example, Soros reportedly took large short positions on the Thai Baht by using forward contracts to exchange Baht for dollars at a set rate, effectively betting that the Baht would be worth less by maturity. These speculative moves created a "cascade of selling" that overwhelmed central bank reserves.

6.2 The Mahathir-Soros Debate

The conflict between Prime Minister Mahathir and George Soros became a defining battle of the crisis (Moss, 2022). Mahathir publicly labeled the currency markets "unnecessary, unproductive, and immoral," accusing Soros of a "Western conspiracy" (Switow, 1997; Moss, 2022). Soros responded by calling Mahathir a "menace" to his own country (Moss, 2022).

While political rhetoric was heated, economic analysis provides a complex picture. Some studies find no definitive evidence that hedge funds alone caused the crash, pointing instead to a "herd-like" response where both foreign and domestic investors panicked simultaneously. However, the role of speculators as the "catalyst" for the collapse of unsustainable regimes remains widely accepted.

7. Synthesis and Causal Implications

The evidence indicates that the 1997 crisis was a dual failure of internal governance and external market regulation. The common thread was the peril of financial liberalization without institutional safeguards.

7.1 Integration of Findings

The causal relationship between these factors is cyclic. Outdated domestic regulations encouraged over-leveraging; over-leveraging created asset bubbles; asset bubbles attracted speculative interest; and speculative attacks triggered the deleveraging process that collapsed the bubble (Sing, 2001) . The "Lost Decade" in Japan and the "Recession" in Malaysia were the inevitable corrections of these imbalances.

7.2 Comparative Causal Factors of the 1997 Crisis

The divergent outcomes in Japan and Malaysia can be traced through a comparative analysis of four primary causal factors. The Institutional Flaw in Japan was centered on politicized banking relationships and the “Land Myth,” which fostered unsustainable debt, whereas Malaysia’s chief institutional flaw was its fixed exchange rate regime coupled with a dependence on short-term “hot money” inflows. The Speculative Role also differed: Japan's stagnation was exacerbated by a “Japan Premium” on USD borrowing related to the perceived risk of its banks, while Malaysia faced aggressive short-selling of the Ringgit. In terms of Policy Failure, Japan was characterized by delayed regulatory reform and governmental support for “zombie” financial institutions, prolonging the stagnation. Conversely, Malaysia's policy failure was the initial, ultimately unsustainable, defense of its currency peg. Finally, the External Trigger that initiated the crises varied significantly: Japan's crisis was precipitated by the Plaza Accord of 1985, while Malaysia’s collapse was triggered by regional contagion following the Thai Baht float.

8. Conclusions and Limitations

The 1997 Asian Financial Crisis remains a foundational case study in the risks of rapid globalization. The comparative analysis indicates that the severity of the crisis was determined by the speed of the domestic policy response and the nature of the exchange rate regime.

Vol. 2026 (11) 917 – 923
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

8.1 Key Conclusions

First, the crisis demonstrated that "getting the basics right" is insufficient to prevent collapse if the financial sector is weak. Second, fixed or quasi-pegged exchange rates provide a clear target for speculative attacks and can encourage dangerous foreign exchange exposure. Third, Malaysia's heterodox use of capital controls suggested that there are viable alternatives to the standard IMF austerity model (Berg, 1999) . Finally, the cost of delayed reform and the maintenance of "zombie" institutions is a prolonged period of economic stagnation (Halton, 2024).

8.2 Research Limitations

This research was limited by the qualitative nature of the interview data, which reflects the specific experiences of two individuals. Furthermore, the study focused on two specific cases (Japan and Malaysia), and the findings may not be fully generalizable to other nations—such as Indonesia or South Korea—that faced significantly different debt profiles and political upheavals. The reliance on historical data also means the analysis is subject to the revisions and inaccuracies inherent in pre-crisis reporting. Future research should aim to incorporate larger-scale firm-level data to further quantify the impact of capital controls on long-term investment productivity.

References

Araki, H. (2017). *The causes of the Japanese lost decade: An extension of graduate thesis*. Semantic Scholar. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Causes-of-the-Japanese-Lost-Decade%3AAn-Extension- Araki/a926290cbc8fb82ba03fdbba17c206113362ccb7. Accessed Apr 18, 2026.

Ariff, M., & Abubakar, S. Y. (1999, December). *The Malaysian financial crisis: Economic impact and recovery prospects*. Malaysian Institute of Economic Research.

Ariff, M., & Yap, M. M.-C. (2001). The Malaysian financial crisis. In T.-S. Yu & D. Xu (Eds.), *From crisis to recovery: East Asia rising again?* (pp. 305-345). World Scientific Publishing. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/detail.action?docID=1681276

Beers, B. (2024, November 20). Short selling: How it works. *Investopedia*. https://www.investopedia.com/articles/investing/100913/basics-short-selling.asp

Berg, A. (1999, October). The Asia crisis: Causes, policy responses, and outcomes (IMF Working Paper No. WP/99/138). International Monetary Fund. https://doi.org/10.5089/9781451855968.001

Cargill, T. F. (2003). Japan, the Asian crisis, and financial liberalization. In C. H. Lee (Ed.), *Financial liberalization and the economic crisis in Asia* (pp. 155-184). Routledge. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/detail.action?docID=171363

Chin, K.-F., & Jomo, K. S. (2003). From financial liberalization to crisis in Malaysia. In C. H. Lee (Ed.), *Financial liberalization and the economic crisis in Asia* (pp. 104-132). Routledge. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/detail.action?docID=171363

Halton, C. (2024, May 22). Lost decade in Japan: History and causes. *Investopedia*. https://www.investopedia.com/terms/l/lost-decade.asp

Hayes, A. (2025, April 25). What is financial leverage, and why is it important? *Investopedia*. https://www.investopedia.com/terms/l/leverage.asp

Hellmann, D. C. (2003). The Asian financial crisis: A preface to the post-Cold-War global political economy. *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, *16*(1), 13-15. https://doi.org/10.1080/0955757032000075654

Vol. 2026 (11) 917 – 923
ISSN 2688-3651 [online]

King, M. R. (2001). Who triggered the Asian financial crisis? *Review of International Political Economy*, *8*(3), 438-466. https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290110055830

Lee, C. H. (2003). Introduction: Issues and findings. In C. H. Lee (Ed.), *Financial liberalization and the economic crisis in Asia* (pp. 1-26). Routledge. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/detail.action?docID=171363

Lim, H. S. (2001). Japan's bubble economy and Asia. In T.-S. Yu & D. Xu (Eds.), *From crisis to recovery: East Asia rising again?* (pp. 29-46). World Scientific Publishing. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/detail.action?docID=1681276

Malaysia: From crisis to recovery (IMF Occasional Paper No. 207). *International Monetary Fund*. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/nft/op/207/index.htm. Accessed.

“Meanwhile, Thirty-Five Years Later – Finaeon.” *Finaeon.Com*, 2024. https://finaeon.com/meanwhile-thirty-five-years-later/. Accessed Apr 18, 2026 .

Moss, D. (2022, June 29). George Soros, Mahathir and the legacy of 1997. *The Business Standard*. https://www.tbsnews.net/features/panorama/george-soros-mahathir-and-legacy-1997-449394

Randazzo, Ciro. “Plating for Anterior Cervical Fusion.” *JHN Journal*, vol. 4(3), 2009. https://doi.org/10.29046/jhnj.004.3.005. Accessed Apr 1, 2019.

Squires, G. (2022, October 29). Meiji Restoration. *World History Encyclopedia*. https://www.worldhistory.org/Meiji_Restoration/

Stein, J. L., & Lim, G. C. (2004). Asian crises: Theory, evidence, warning-signals. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.528185

Strom, S. (1997, November 26). The Asian crisis: The Japanese investors; In Tokyo, taking a collapse in stride. *The New York Times*, D1. https://www.nytimes.com/1997/11/26/business/the-asian-crisis-the-japanese-investors-in-tokyo-taking-a-collapse-in-stride.html

Sundaram, J. K. (2004). *Malaysia’s pathway through financial crisis* (GEG Working Paper No. 2004/08). Global Economic Governance Programme.

Switow, M. (1997, September 24). Malaysia's Mahathir vs. 'immoral' markets. *The Christian Science Monitor*. https://www.csmonitor.com/1997/0924/092497.econ.econ.1.html

Wikipedia Contributors. (2009, February 10). Period of economic stagnation in Japan. *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lost_Decades. Accessed Apr 18, 2026.

Yu, T.-S. (2001). An overview of the financial crisis in East Asia. In T.-S. Yu & D. Xu (Eds.), *From crisis to recovery: East Asia rising again?* (pp. 1-28). World Scientific Publishing. https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/detail.action?docID=1681276